TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTION

Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	Control 540 Department	or Agency Alabama St	ate Board of Medica	al Examiners
New X Amend Repeal Adopt by Reference Would the absence of the proposed rule significantly harm or endanger the public health, welfare, or safety? Is there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, so what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? The proposed rule has an economic impact, the proposed rule is required to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. ***********************************				
Would the absence of the proposed rule significantly harm or endanger the public health, welfare, or safety? (as there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? (as there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? (b) Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, so what degree? (as the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	Rule Title: Authorization	for Practice as a Certi		
isignificantly harm or endanger the public health, welfare, or safety? Is there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? NO Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, so what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so hey have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? YES *********************************	NewX	Amend	Repeal	Adopt by Reference
isignificantly harm or endanger the public health, welfare, or safety? Is there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? NO Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, so what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so hey have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? YES *********************************	Would the absence of the	proposed mile		
Is there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? The public proposed rule have an economic impact? If the proposed rule has an economic impact, the proposed rule is required to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. The first proposed rule that the attached proposed rule has been probosed in fifth certification of Authorized Official Certification of Authorized Official Certify that the attached proposed rule has been probosed in fifth certification of Authorized Official	significantly harm or enda	proposed rule		
Is there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? Settleman and the harm are conomic impact? The proposed rule has an economic impact, the proposed rule is equired to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. ***********************************	health welfare or safety?			37DO
Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? Set the proposed rule have an economic impact? The proposed rule has an economic impact, the proposed rule is required to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. Set the state that the attached proposed rule has been proposed in fifth. Certification of Authorized Official	mountain, workers, or survey:			YES
Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? Set the proposed rule have an economic impact? The proposed rule has an economic impact, the proposed rule is required to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. Set the state that the attached proposed rule has been proposed in fifth. Certification of Authorized Official	Is there a reasonable relation	onship between the		
st there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	state's police power and th	e protection of the	•	
Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so hey have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	public health, safety, or welfare?			VEQ
Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? YES *********************************	1			LLS
Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? YES *********************************	Is there another, less restri-	ctive method of		
Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? Extra transfer to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. Extra transfer to the transfer of Chapter 22. Title 41. Code of Alabama 1975 and that it conforms to all particular fills.				
Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	protect the public?			NO
directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	- •			
of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? It sthe increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	Does the proposed rule har	ve the effect of		
of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? It sthe increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	directly or indirectly increa	asing the costs		
is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	of any goods or services in	volved and, if so,		
Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	to what degree?	,		NO
Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************				
Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	Is the increase in cost, if an	ny, more harmful		
Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	to the public than the harm	that might result		
designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	from the absence of the pro-	oposed rule?		NO
designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	Are all facets of the rulems	aking process		
they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	designed solely for the pur	mose of and so		
protection of the public? ***********************************				
Does the proposed rule have an economic impact? The proposed rule has an economic impact, the proposed rule is required to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. The proposed rule has an economic impact, the proposed rule is required to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. The proposed rule has been proposed in full proposed rule has been proposed rule has bee		cricot, the		VEC
Does the proposed rule have an economic impact? If the proposed rule has an economic impact, the proposed rule is required to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. ***********************************	protection of the public,			IES
If the proposed rule has an economic impact, the proposed rule is required to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. ***********************************	*********	******	******	*******
required to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. ***********************************	Does the proposed rule har	ve an economic impact?	?	NO
required to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. ***********************************	TO:1			
subsection (f) of Section 41-22-23, Code of Alabama 1975. ***********************************	If the proposed rule has an	economic impact, the	proposed rule is	
Certification of Authorized Official certify that the attached proposed rule has been proposed in full compliance with the requirements of Chanter 22. Title 41. Code of Management 1975 and that it conforms to all applicable files.	required to be accompanie	d by a fiscal note prepa	red in accordance w	rith
Certification of Authorized Official certify that the attached proposed rule has been proposed in full compliance with the requirements of Chanter 22. Title 41. Code of March 1975 and that it conforms to all applicable files.	subsection (t) of Section 4	1-22-23, <u>Code of Alaba</u>	<u>ama 1975</u> .	
Certification of Authorized Official certify that the attached proposed rule has been proposed in full compliance with the requirements of Chanter 22. Title 41. Code of March 1975 and that it conforms to all applicable files.	******	*******	*******	*****
compliance with the requirements of Chanter 22. Title 41. Code of All and All 1975 and that it conforms to all and inches				
A LIGHT AND	compliance with the requiremen	its of Chanter 22. Title 41. C	ode dif A shall 1075	and that it conforms to all applicable filing escrice.
Signature of certifying officer	Signature of certifying officer _	100		1
Date: February 19, 2015	Date: February 19, 2015			

ALABAMA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTION

AGENCY NAME:

Alabama State Board of Medical Examiners

RULE NO. & TITLE:

Chapter 540-X-8-.20, Authorization or Practice as a Certified

Nurse Midwife

INTENDED ACTION:

To amend the rule

SUBSTANCE OF PROPOSED ACTION:

To amend the rule to provide for the use of the

online form for terminating an existing

collaboration

TIME, PLACE, MANNER OF PRESENTING VIEWS: All interested persons may submit data, views, or arguments concerning the proposed new rule(s) orally or in writing to: Patricia E. Shaner, General Counsel, Alabama State Board of Medical Examiners, Post Office Box 946, Montgomery, Alabama 36101-0946, by mail or in person between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, until and including Friday, April 3, 2015. Persons wishing to obtain copies of the text of this rule and submit data, views, or comments or arguments orally should contact Patricia E. Shaner, by telephone (334-242-4116) during said period in order to set up an appointment for a hearing respecting such oral data, views, or arguments.

FINAL DATE FOR COMMENT AND COMPLETION OF NOTICE: April 3, 2015

CONTACT PERSON AT AGENCY:

Patricia E. Shaner

Larry D. Dixon, Executive Director

540-X-8-.20 <u>Authorization for Practice as a Certified Nurse Midwife</u>.

- (1) The Board of Nursing may grant a certificate of qualification for advanced practice nursing as a nurse midwife to applicants who meet all requirements of 540-X-8-.15.
- (2) Only those registered nurses who have been issued a certificate of qualification by the Board of Nursing and have approval for collaborative practice from the Board of Medical Examiners and Board of Nursing may hold themselves out to be certified nurse midwives, use the designation "CNM" or use titles to imply that they are nurse midwives.
- (3) When for any reason the collaborative practice agreement with the physician designated in the application for approval is terminated, then approval of such certified nurse midwife and collaborating physician designated in the application is automatically withdrawn.
- (a) The certified nurse midwife and the physician shall each inform their respective board in writing of the effective date of the termination of the collaborative practice agreement and the reasons for such termination. Failure to notify the respective board of termination may be considered a violation of these rules and regulations.
- (b) The certified nurse midwife shall notify the Board of Nursing using the online form "Terminate an Existing Collaboration."
- (4) The advanced practice approval card shall expire prior to the RN license card if the advanced practice national specialty certification expires during the license period.

- (5) Approval for advanced practice may be continued each biennium at the time of renewal of the registered nurse license upon verification of:
 - (a) Meeting the requirements of Rule 540-X-8-.15.
- (b) Continued collaboration with the approved physician(s) or eligibility for continued exemption from collaboration.
 - (c) Payment of the advanced practice nursing renewal fee.
- (6) Failure to meet the requirements for renewal shall result in lapse of the advanced practice approval.
- (7) A nurse who fails to attain or maintain specialty certification as a nurse midwife form the American College of Nurse Midwives Certification Council shall:
 - (a) Immediately notify the Board of Nursing.
- (b) Not practice or use the title of certified nurse midwife until approved by the Board of Nursing.
- (8) Registered nurses who have been issued a certificate of qualification as certified nurse midwives from the Board of Nursing may use the designation specific to the authorization, as follows:
 - (a) "CNM Faculty." The registered nurse who:
- 1. Meets all qualifications for approval as a certified nurse midwife as provided in Rule 540-X-8-.15.
- 2. Holds a teaching position in a nurse midwifery education program recognized by the Alabama Board of Nursing.
- 3. Has made application for approval with the exception of the standard protocol for the CNM and physician as provided in Rule 540-X-8-.17.

- 4. Requests approval as a certified nurse midwife without prescriptive authority in order to supervise nurse midwife students in direct care of patients in clinical settings where there is a CNM in an approved collaborative practice or physician who is present to oversee patient care.
 - (b) "CNM Federal Only." The registered nurse who:
- 1. Meets all qualifications for approval as a certified nurse midwife as provided in Rule 540-X-8-.15.
 - 2. Is employed by the United States government.
- 3. Requests an exemption from collaborative practice with a physician licensed to practice medicine in Alabama.
- 4. Is authorized for practice with prescriptive authority under established federal regulations and guidelines limited to the employing federal agency.

Author: Alabama Board of Medical Examiners. **Statutory Authority:** Code of Alabama 1975, as amended, §§ 34-24-53, 34-21-25, and 34-21-88.

History: Amended/Approved for Publication: May 21, 2003. Approved/Adopted: August 20, 2003. Effective Date: September 30, 2003. Amended/Approved: February 18, 2015.