TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTION

Rule Title: Fees New X Amend Repeal Adopt by Reference Would the absence of the proposed rule significantly harm or endanger the public health, welfare, or safety? Is there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? NA *********************************	Control No. <u>135</u> Departme	ent or Agency	Board of Examiner	rs of Assisted Living Administrators
New X Amend Repeal Adopt by Reference Would the absence of the proposed rule significantly harm or endanger the public health, welfare, or safety? Is there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? NO NO NO NO NO Stervice involved and, if so, to what degree? NO Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of nule have an economic impact? NO NO NO NO Stervice involved and, if so, to what degree? NO Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of nule have an economic impact? NO NO NO Stervice involved and, if so, to what degree? NO NO NO NO Stervice involved and, if so, to what degree? NO NO Are all facets of the proposed rule? NO Are all facets of the proposed rule? NO NO NO NO Stervice involved and, if so, to what degree? NO NO NO NO NO Stervice involved and, if so, to what degree? NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N	Rule No. 135-X-1301			
Would the absence of the proposed rule significantly harm or endanger the public health, welfare, or safety? Is there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? NO Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	Rule Title: Fees			
harm or endanger the public health, welfare, or safety? Is there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	NewX_	Amend	Repeal	Adopt by Reference
harm or endanger the public health, welfare, or safety? Is there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************				
Is there a reasonable relationship between the state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************				
state's police power and the protection of the public health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************		<u>NO</u>		
Jublic health, safety, or welfare? Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************				
Is there another, less restrictive method of regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	state's police power and the	protection of	the	
regulation available that could adequately protect the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	public health, safety, or well	fare?		NO
the public? Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	Is there another, less restrict	ive method of	•	
Does the proposed rule have the effect of directly or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	regulation available that cou	ld adequately	protect	
or indirectly increasing the costs of any goods or services involved and, if so, to what degree? Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	the public?			NO_
Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	Does the proposed rule have	the effect of	directly	-
Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	or indirectly increasing the o	osts of any go	oods or	
Is the increase in cost, if any, more harmful to the public than the harm that might result from the absence of the proposed rule? Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************				YES
Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************				
Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************	public than the harm that mi	ght result from	n the	
Are all facets of the rulemaking process designed solely for the purpose of, and so they have, as their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************				NO
their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************			esigned	
their primary effect, the protection of the public? ***********************************				
**************************************	their primary effect, the prot	ection of the	oublic?	
If the proposed rule has an economic impact, the proposed rule is required to be accompanied by a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 412223, Code of Alabama 1975. ***********************************	********	******	*******	*******
a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 412223, Code of Alabama 1975. ************************** Certification of Authorized Official I certify that the attached proposed rule has been proposed in full compliance with the requirements of Chapter 22, Title 41, Code of Alabama 1975, and that it conforms to all applicable filing requirements of the Administrative Procedure Division of the Legislative Reference Service.	Does the proposed rule have	an economic	impact?	YES
a fiscal note prepared in accordance with subsection (f) of Section 412223, Code of Alabama 1975. ************************** Certification of Authorized Official I certify that the attached proposed rule has been proposed in full compliance with the requirements of Chapter 22, Title 41, Code of Alabama 1975, and that it conforms to all applicable filing requirements of the Administrative Procedure Division of the Legislative Reference Service.	If the proposed rule has an e	conomic impa	act, the proposed ru	le is required to be accompanied by
Code of Alabama 1975. ***********************************				
Certification of Authorized Official I certify that the attached proposed rule has been proposed in full compliance with the requirements of Chapter 22, Title 41, Code of Alabama 1975, and that it conforms to all applicable filing requirements of the Administrative Procedure Division of the Legislative Reference Service.	Code of Alabama 1975.		, ,	•
I certify that the attached proposed rule has been proposed in full compliance with the requirements of Chapter 22, Title 41, Code of Alabama 1975, and that it conforms to all applicable filing requirements of the Administrative Procedure Division of the Legislative Reference Service.	********	******	*******	********
requirements of Chapter 22, Title 41, Code of Alabama 1975, and that it conforms to all applicable filing requirements of the Administrative Procedure Division of the Legislative Reference Service.	Certification of Authorized	Official		
requirements of Chapter 22, Title 41, Code of Alabama 1975, and that it conforms to all applicable filing requirements of the Administrative Procedure Division of the Legislative Reference Service.	I certify that the attached pro	posed rule ha	s been proposed in	full compliance with the
Reference Service.				
Reference Service.	applicable filing requiremen	ts of the Adm	inistrative Procedur	re Division of the Legislative
Anna Anidon Englishing	Reference Service.			· ·
Attoux to Allow Francis time to		Λ	1 110	
		1/1011/	X tru d / nia	Figure timedia
Signature of certifying officer VMW TURLY, Executive Director	Signature of certifying office	er <u> V NW</u>	MULLUX	1 DECIVITY DIRECTOR
	_			
61016	6111		•	
Date 6.19.15	Date 0.10.15	············		

(DATE FILED) (STAMP)

Board of Examiners of Assisted Living Administrators NOTICE OF INTENDED ACTION

AGENCY NAME: Board of Examiners of Assisted Living Administrators

RULE NO. & TITLE: 135-X-13-.01 - Fees

INTENDED ACTION: amend the above referenced rule

SUBSTANCE OF PROPOSED ACTION: The Board of Examiners of Assisted Living Administrators proposes to amend Rule 135-X-13-.01 (4) by adjusting the rules to match the statutes that will be effective September 1, 2015. Adding a replacement license fee, a reapplication fee and increasing the fines to \$5,000.00 per violation.

TIME, PLACE, MANNER OF PRESENTING VIEWS: The Board of Examiners of Assisted Living Administrators will hold a public hearing to hear written comments from 11:00 am until 12:00 pm on August 5, 2015. The public hearing will be held in the conference room of the ALAA Office, 2740 Zelda Road, Suite 3B, Montgomery, Alabama.

Notice - If you require special accommodations (wheel chair access) and would like to attend our Board Meetings, you MUST notify the BOEALA Office by calling (334) 271.2418 at least 10 days in advance of any meeting.

FINAL DATE FOR COMMENT AND COMPLETION OF NOTICE: The date for completion of notice is August 4, 2015.

<u>CONTACT PERSON AT AGENCY:</u> The contact person for the Board of Examiners of Assisted Living Administrators is: Amy Findley, Executive Director, 2740 Zelda Road, Suite 3B, Montgomery, AL 36106.

Amy Eindley

Executive Director

ALABAMA BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF ASSISTED LIVING ADMINISTRATORS

CHAPTER 135-X-13 FEES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

135-X-13-.01 Fees

135-X-13-.01 <u>Fees.</u> The fee requirements shall be set and annually adopted by the Board. The fee requirements shall be published after the last Board meeting held prior to October 1 of each year. Fees shall be charged for each of the following items:

Initial Application Cat. I	\$100.00		
Initial Application Cat. II	\$125.00		
Examination	\$150.00(Section A) \$150.00(Section B)		
License	\$125.00		
License Renewal	\$150.00		
Reciprocity Questionnaire	\$100.00		
Late Renewal Penalty	\$275.00		
Inactive Reactivation Fee	\$325.00		
Replacement License Fee	<u>\$25.00</u>		
Reapplication Fee	\$150.00		
Bad Check Fee	\$30.00		
Emergency Permit	\$350.00		
Administrative Fee	\$100.00		
Administrative Fines	\$5,000.00		
Copies (per page)	\$.75 (per page 1-25) \$.25 (per page 26+)		

Author: Theresa Jordan

Statutory Authority: Code of Ala. 1975, Section 34-2A-1-16.

History: New Rule Filed September 16, 2002., Amended: October 19, 2007. Amended May 22, 2009. Amended November 25, 2011. Amended December 11, 2014. Amended February 24, 2015. Amended September 2015.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FOR APA RULE (Section 41-22-23(f))

Control No. 135 – BOEALA
Rule No: 135-X-1301
Rule Title: Fees
New X Amend Repeal Adopt by Reference
This rule has no economic impact.
This rule has an economic impact, as explained below:
1. NEED/EXPECTED BENEFIT OF RULE:
The Administrative fine is being increased from 1,000 per violation to \$5,000 to help cover the costs of administrative hearings.
The License replacement fee is being implemented to defer some of the costs associated with having to print and mail an individual a replacement license.
The reapplication fee is being implemented for those who let their license expire without renewing.
2. COSTS/BENEFITS OF RULE AND WHY RULE IS THE MOST EFFECTIVE, EFFICIENT, AND FEASBILE MEANS FOR ALLOCATING RESOURCES AND ACHIEVING THE SEATED PURPOSE:
The cost is passed on to the license.
3. EFFECT OF THIS RULE ON COMPETITION: None
HOUG
4. EFFECT OF THIS RULE ON COST-OF-LIVING AND DOING BUSINESS IN THE GEOGRAPHICAL AREA WHERE THE RULE IS TO BE IMPLEMENTED:
None

5. EFFECT OF THIS RULE ON EMPLOYMENT IN THE GEOGRAPHICAL AREA WHERE THE RULE IS TO BE IMPLEMENTED:

None

6. SOURCE OF REVENUE TO BE USED FOR IMPLEMENTING AND ENFORCING THIS RULE:

Licensing fees, application fees and other fees provide the revenue for the Board to operate and to implement new rules and regulations.

7. THE SHORT-TERM/LONG-TERM ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THIS RULES ON AFFECTED PERSONS, INCLUDING ANALYSIS OF PERSONS WHO WILL BEAR THE COSTS AND THOSE WHO WILL BENEFIT FROM THE RULE:

All of the licensed assisted living administrators will benefit from being able to call the board's Executive Director and have their license replaced due to loss, theft, etc. Increasing the fines will help the board pay for administrative hearings.

The short term economic impact is that the person may have less money to spend or pay bills in any given year.

8. UNCERTAINTIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE ESTIMATED BENEFITS AND BURDENS OF THE RULE, INCLUDING QUALITATIVE/QUANTITATIVE BENEFITS AND BURDEN COMPARISON:

None

9. THE EFFECT OF THIS RULE ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC HEALTH:

None

10. DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC HEALTH IF THE RULE IS NOT IMPLEMENTED:

None